

**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**Bikash Bhavan, Salt Lake, Kolkata – 700 091.**

**Present-**

**The Hon'ble SAYEED AHMED BABA, Member(A)**

**Case No. – OA-11 of 2022**

**Amit Paul VERSUS – The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Serial No. and  
Date of order

For the Applicant : Mr. S. Sinha Roy,  
Learned Advocate.

05  
25.04.2022

For the State Respondent : Mrs. S. Agarwal,  
Learned Advocate.

In the instant application, the applicant has prayed for setting aside of the impugned order passed by the respondent No. (ii) at page 44 of the application relevant portion is as follows :-

- a) "However, in the instant case, from the available documents on record and on hearing, the petitioner has failed to substantiate his cause for employment on compassionate ground. The petitioner has failed to establish the fact that his family is in financial hardship after the sudden demise of his father."
- b) " In the context, I do not find any reason to disagree with the observation of the three-man enquiry cum screening committee and the then Milk Commissioner, West Bengal for not recommending the application of the petitioner for employment on compassionate ground. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner Sri Amil Paul for appointment on compassionate ground is considered and rejected."

Learned advocate for the state also supports that the applicant's prayer for employment on compassionate ground cannot be considered because of well considered decision of the respondents cited above.

On the previous occasion also, the Tribunal had asked the learned advocate for the applicant whether he can refute the contention made by the

**ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

Amit Paul

Case No. **OA-11 of 2022**

**Vs.**  
**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

respondent that his family was not undergoing serious financial hardship as well as any other such compelling reason to provide compassionate appointment to the applicant. However, even in today's adjudication, the applicant has not provided any such reason nor able to satisfy by way of arguments.

Therefore, Tribunal agrees with the respondents in his considered order for refusing his application for compassionate appointment. Accordingly, the OA is disposed of with no order as to costs.

SAYEED AHMED BABA  
MEMBER (A)

sc